From: <u>Jennifer Savage</u>
To: <u>Dave DeGrandpre</u>

Subject: Fw: Greenough Heights Comments

Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:24:14 AM

See below.

From: Nancy Seldin <nancyseldin@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 2:21 PM

To: Grp. City Council and City Web Site <Council@ci.missoula.mt.us>

Subject: Greenough Heights Comments

Good morning Council Members,

Thank you for all your work on the City Council. I was one of the few present at the City Council meeting last night, but did not get up to speak, as there seemed to be no point regarding the proposed Greenough Heights subdivision. It seems to be a fait accompli.

For years I have been watching the empty field on Greenough as I walk, bike or drive by, knowing it would be subdivided eventually, and I watched for "For Sale" signs, wondering if it would be possible for a group of citizens, or the city, to buy it and do something like senior housing, or co-housing, or a small house community. It was sold without ever being advertised or going through the MLS. By the time the first signs went up to invite people to comment, it was too late.

I understand "private property rights" - although sometimes / often they go against "public good". It would have been a public good to do something other than yet another subdivision of high-priced individual homes. The lots created will surely be expensive and thus bought most likely by wealthy people who will build large and expensive homes. It will do nothing to help the shortage of housing for younger families who are not of means, other people without tons of money, the elderly etc.

All that being beside the point, I have several major concerns. The very detailed proposal, which I have read, *seems* to address them, or promises to, but I still think we need to consider the following:

- 1. The development will put considerably more traffic onto Greenough Drive. As you doubtless know, the Drive is steep at the south end nearest highway 90, often icy in the winter, often blocked by trains that may sit for long periods of time. It is also the only egress for the west Rattlesnake in case of a catastrophic fire. What assurance to we have that these concerns will be addressed effectively? What about the difficulty of making left turns onto Greenough, or from North Second left onto Greenough to go up the Drive? What is the long-term plan for egress? For dealing with the backup in traffic caused by the trains?
- 2. Erosion and creek water quality degradation due to slope. The property is quite steep at the east and south portions. Have you seen the piles of fill that have been brought to the property? This is surely to help lessen the slope so that it fits grade requirements. The plan acknowledges that this could be a problem and claims to have put in place ways of preventing slip and runoff problems, but of course there's no guarantee. Building 20' from the drop off into Greenough Park or Cherry Creek draining seems ill-advised at best.

- a) I would strongly suggest that you NOT approve Variance I. Walk the property and see what you think. The proposed lots at the edge of the Cherry Creek drainage are just too steep.
- b) Do not approve the switch of zoning at the east edge of the property. Making the change in zoning, although it would increase the natural area along Cherry Creek, would also allow the developer or owners to remove the vegetation between the proposed subdivision and Greenough Park. The proposal makes it seem like a win/win but I do not believe that to be the case. Have you walked the slope of Cherry Creek down into the park? It is very steep, and often very wet.
- C) If the city does approve the zoning change, it should require a buffer of trees between the subdivision and Greenough Park both as a visual block for citizens enjoying the park and to help prevent erosion and run off from the properties on the east edge of the subdivision.

I hope you will address these concerns at the Land Use & Planning meeting on Wednesday.

Nancy Seldin 19709 Alvina Drive Missoula Montana 59802