


Dave DeGrandpre Development Services August 19 and 24, 2020




# Property Location






# Surrounding Land Uses





# Current Zoning





# Development Without Rezone



	North Parcel: R5.4	South Parcel: RM1-35
Building Types	Single Dwelling	SD, Duplex & Multi-Dwelling, Mixed Use
Min. Parcel Area	5,400 SF	3,000 SF
Min. Area Per Unit	5,400 SF	1,000 SF
Max. Height	35 feet	35 feet
Base Zoning Number of Units	283 Single Dwelling Units	379 Dwelling Units
Number of Units After Hillside	155 Single Dwelling Units	339 Dwelling Units

## Development With Rezone



	RM1-45
Building Types	SD, Duplex & Multi-Dwelling, Mixed Use
Min. Parcel Area	3,000 SF
Min. Area Per Unit	1,000 SF
Max. Height	45 feet
Base Zoning Number of Units	1,905 Dwelling Units
Number of Units After Hillside	1,185 Dwelling Units


**Density** 

This figure does not take into account area needed for parking, circulation, landscaping, activity area, and setbacks.

### Our missoura Growth Folicy



### Mab



### Growin Policy Implementing



### **Districts**

Residential High Density	Regional Commercial and Services
RM1-35 Residential Multi- Dwelling	C1-4 Neighborhood Commercial*
RM1-45 Residential Multi- Dwelling	C2-4 Community Commercial*
RM1.5 Residential Multi- Dwelling	M1R-2 Limited Industrial and Residential*
RM0.5 Residential Multi- Dwelling	OP3 Public Lands and Institutional


<sup>\*</sup>These commercial and industrial districts permit residential development up to 43 dwelling units per acre

# **Growth Policy Compliance**



# RM1-45 substantially complies with the Growth Policy FLUM because:

- 87% is designated as Residential High Density – Greater Than 24 Units Per Acre
- RM1-45 an implementing district of Residential High Density designation
- The allowed residential density in the RM1-45 district is the same density allowed in implementing districts under the Regional Commercial and Services Future Land Use Map designation.




# Growth Policy Compliance



#### "Focus Inward" Approach

- Can be served by sewer, water, police, fire, street maintenance
- Supports housing goals
- Some services and facilities do not currently exist - parks, trails, transit, neighborhood commercial - but many are planned
- The developer can be required to provide transportation improvements during the building permit process
- Proposed rezoning substantially complies with the Growth Policy



### Rezone Review Criteria



- 1. Compliance with Growth Policy
- 2. Public Services/Transportation
- 3. Compatible Urban Growth
- 4. Promotes Public Health and Safety
- 5. District Character & Suitability of Uses
- 6. Corrects an Error/Inconsistency or Meets the Challenge of Changing Conditions
- 7. In the Best Interest of the City as a Whole

### Staff Recommended Motion



APPROVE the adoption of an ordinance to rezone property located at 2920 Expo Parkway from R5.4 Residential, RM1-35 Residential (multi-dwelling), B2-2 Community Business, and C1-4 Neighborhood Commercial to RM1-45 Residential (multi-dwelling) based on the findings of fact in the staff report.

### Tanning Duard



### Recommendation

**DENY** the request for adoption of an ordinance to rezone property located at 2920 Expo Parkway from R5.4 Residential, RM1-35 Residential (multi-dwelling), B2-2 Community Business, and C1-4 Neighborhood Commercial to RM1-45 Residential (multi-dwelling).

# Planning Board Comments



Pros	Cons
It is a former gravel pit, not farmland or greenfield development	Adequate facilities and services should be available before rezoning
Near services, restaurants, I-90	Existing zoning allows significant development of site
Would help to meet the City's housing goals	Too many single-occupancy vehicle trips, emissions
Transportation and parks levels of service can be addressed over time	Grant Creek water quality concerns, wildlife movement

## **Protest**



- If protest petitions are signed by owners of 25% or more of lots or units within 150 feet of the property, at least 2/3 of present and voting members of council are needed to approve a zone change. [76-2-305(2)(b), MCA]
- 57 property owners within 150 feet
- 18 valid protests submitted (31.5%)
- Supermajority required to approve this request