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# Mcnett Flats Subdivision Traffic Impact Study - 2020 Update Missoula, Montana 

## A. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Mcnett Flats Subdivision is a 20 -acre mixed-use project proposed north of Mullan Road at the north end of George Elmer Drive in Missoula, Montana. Upon completion in 2022, the development may contain up to 660 living units if the maximum density permitted by the proposed zoning were realized, and could produce up to a maximum of 3,600 new daily vehicle trips in this area. As proposed, the Mcnett Flats Subdivision will increase traffic volumes on the surrounding road network and roadway improvements may be warranted with this project and the other planned developments in this area. The approval and design of a traffic signal for the intersection of George Elmer Drive and Mullan Road is currently underway to meet the current traffic demands for future traffic volume growth. The developers should contribute to the installation of a roundabout at the intersection of Cattle Drive and George Elmer Drive.

## B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This document studies the possible effects on the surrounding road system from the proposed Mcnett Flats Subdivision located at the north end of George Elmer Drive in Missoula. The document provides information regarding possible traffic impacts in the area and identifies mitigation efforts that the development may require. The project could include up to 660 residential units at full development. The City of Missoula has requested an analysis of George Elmer Drive and Chuck Wagon Drive intersecting with Mullan Road to the south, plus the intersection of George Elmer Drive and Cattle Drive.

## C. EXISTING CONDITIONS

The Mcnett Flats Subdivision is proposed on a 20 -acre parcel of land located at the northern end of George Elmer Drive. The site is located in a residential area north of Mullan Road. The 44 Ranch subdivision is located just south of the property and the Flynn Ranch subdivision is located to the east. See Figure 1 for a location map of the proposed development.

## Adjacent Roadways

Mullan Road (MT 263) is an east/west minor arterial route that extends through the western portion of Missoula. This section of the roadway has a two-lane cross-section with additional deceleration lanes at some intersections. The road has a rural cross-section with a paved width of 40 feet. The Mullan Road pedestrian trial is located to the north of the roadway and runs
from Mullan Trail to Flynn Lane. The posted speed limit is 45 MPH. According to traffic counts conducted by the Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) in 2019, the roadway currently carries 13,000 Vehicles per Day (VPD).

Figure 1- Proposed Development Site


George Elmer Drive a north/south local route that extends north from Mullan Road. The road provides access to the 44 Ranch Subdivision and the Flynn Ranch Subdivision. The road has a paved width of 32 feet with bike lanes. At the intersection with Mullan Road, George Elmer Drive widens to include separated right and left-turn lanes for southbound traffic. The intersection also has a right-turn deceleration lane for westbound traffic on Mullan Road. The posted speed limit on George Elmer Drive is 45 MPH. Traffic data collected by Abelin Traffic Services (ATS) indicates that the road currently carries 1,700 VPD Vehicles per Day (VPD).

Chuck Wagon Drive is a newly constructed north/south route that provides access to the 44 Ranch Subdivision and 44 Ranch Estates Subdivision. Chuck Wagon Drive was constructed just to the east of Roundup Drive which is now closed except for its connection with Chuck Wagon Drive. Chuck Wagon Drive temporarily connects to Roundup Drive north of Mullan Road. Chuck Wagon Drive has a paved width of 36 -feet with bike lanes and a posted speed limit of 30 MPH . Traffic data collected by ATS indicates that the road currently carries 800 VPD Vehicles per Day (VPD).

## Traffic Data

In October 2019, ATS collected traffic data at area intersections to evaluate current operational characteristics. These counts included peak-hour turning movement counts and 24 -hour volume counts along George Elmer Drive and Chuck Wagon Drive. The peak-hour turning movement counts were performed at the intersections of Mullan Road with George Elmer Drive and Chuck Wagon Drive. The raw traffic data is included in Appendix A of this report.

Traffic data was also collected at these intersections in the spring and summer of 2019 by WGM Group for the Heron's Landing project to the south. The original 2019 TIS prepared for the Mcnett Flats Subdivision (George Elmer Tollefson development) used the October 2019 ATS data. This report uses the WGM Group data for the traffic analysis in order to provide more continuity between the traffic analysis for the two projects.

## Additional Projects

Construction of the 44 Ranch subdivision is currently underway just to the south of the proposed Remington Flats development. This subdivision currently has 200 homes occupied or platted with an additional 345 homes set to be platted and constructed over the next six years. The subdivision is also accessed from George Elmer Drive and Chuck Wagon Drive.

The Remington Flats Residential Development is currently proposed at the north end of George Elmer Drive and Chuck Wagon Drive. The project would include 152 single-family dwelling units constructed on 20 acres of land. The project would connect into the north side of the 44 Ranch Estates at Riata Road and Chuck Wagon Drive. The Remington Flats Residential Development would be developed in six phases over the next six years and would be expected to be completed in 2026.

The Heron's Landing development is also planned for construction north of Mullan Road between Chuck Wagon Drive and George Elmer drive. This development would include 289 single-family dwelling units and 58 multi-family units. This project is planned for completion in 2030.

The projected traffic from the above-mentioned developments was included in the future traffic projections for this analysis.

## Historic Traffic Data

Abelin Traffic Services obtained historic traffic data for Mullan Road from MDT which is presented in Table 1. The traffic data history indicates that traffic volumes on this section of Mullan Road increased at an average rate of $1 \%$ annually over this time period.

Missoula, Montana
Table 1 - Historic Average Daily Traffic Data

| Location | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mullan Road <br> E of Flynn <br> \#32-3A-313 | 12,050 | 12,000 | 12,280 | 12,090 | 12,160 | 12,320 | 12,923 | 14,432 | 13,941 | 12,946 |
| Mullan Road W <br> of Reserve <br> \#32-3A-134 | 19,980 | 19,410 | 17,410 | 16,450 | 19,600 | 20,490 | 17,178 | 21,963 | 19,577 | 19,694 |

## Missoula Signal Warrant Study and LRTP

In June of 2019, WGM group produced a traffic signal warrant study for the intersection of George Elmer Drive and Mullan Road. The study indicated that a traffic signal will be immanently warranted at this location and that the city should begin the design and approval process for the installation of a traffic signal at this location.

The 2017 Missoula Long Range Transportation Plan includes recommendations for improvements to the roadways and pedestrian facilities on the road network within this area. The list of committed and recommended roadway improvement projects includes extending the Mullan Road Trail from Flynn Lane to Reserve Street (Project \#475, estimated cost $\$ 775,000$ ). The plan also includes recommendation for the installation of the traffic signal at the intersection of George Elmer Drive and Mullan Road (Project \#48, estimated cost $\$ 450,000$ ) on the list of committed and recommended safety projects.

## Level of Service

Using the data collected for this project, ATS conducted a Level of Service (LOS) analysis at area intersections. This analysis was conducted in accordance with the procedures outlined in the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) - Special Report 209 and the Highway Capacity Software (HCS) version 7.8. Intersections are given a LOS grade of A to F representing the average delay that a vehicle entering an intersection can expect. Typically, a LOS of C or better is considered acceptable for peak-hour conditions. The LOS values for unsignalized and signalized intersections is shown in Tables 2 and 3. Note that the LOS criteria for signalized and unsignalized intersections are different. Drivers will typically accept a higher level of total delay in exchange for the guarantee of service through a signalized intersection.

Table 2-LOS Criteria for Stop-Controlled Intersections

| LOS | Average Control Delay <br> (Seconds/Vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

Transportation Research Board Highway Capacity Manual, Special Report \#209
Table 3-LOS Criteria for Signalized Intersections

| LOS | Average Control Delay <br> (Seconds/Vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ |
| C | $>20-35$ |
| D | $>35-55$ |
| E | $>55-80$ |
| F | $>80$ |

Transportation Research Board Highway Capacity Manual, Special Report \#209
Table 4 shows the existing LOS for the AM, and PM peak hours without the traffic from the proposed development or any additional development. The LOS calculations are included in Appendix C. The table shows that the Chuck Wagon Drive intersection with Mullan Road and the intersection of George Elmer Drive and Cattle Drive are currently operating within acceptable limits, but the intersection of George Elmer Drive and Mullan Road is experiencing some operational deficiencies associated with vehicles turning left onto Mullan Road, particularly during the morning peak hour. This issue was identified in the 2017 Missoula Long Range Transportation Plan and a traffic signal was recommended to correct this issue. The June 2019 signal warrant study prepared for this intersection indicated that the signal is immanently warranted and recommended that the design and approval of this signal be initiated. This project is currently underway.

Table 4-2019 Level of Service Summary

|  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Delay (Sec.) | LOS | Delay (Sec.) | LOS |
| Mullan Road \& Chuck Wagon <br> Drive | 18.4 | C | 26.1 | D |
| Mullan Road \& George Elmer <br> Drive* | 27.4 | D | 35.4 | E |
| George $^{*}$ <br> Drive | $8.8 / 10.0$ | A/B | $8.7 / 11.5$ | A/B |

*Northbound/Southbound or Eastbound/Westbound Side Street LOS and Delay.

## D. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The Mcnett Flats Subdivision is currently proposed at the north end of George Elmer Drive. The project may contain up to 660 living units on 20 acres of land if the maximum density permitted by the proposed zoning were realized. The project would connect into the north side of the 44 Ranch at George Elmer Drive and would connect to the Remington Flats Residential Development to the west. The project is expected to be completed in 2022. The proposed development site plan is shown in Figure 2.

## E. TRIP GENERATION AND ASSIGNMENT

ATS performed a trip generation analysis to determine the anticipated future traffic volumes from the proposed development using the trip generation rates contained in Trip Generation (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Tenth Edition). These rates are the national standard and are based on the most current information available to planners. A vehicle "trip" is defined as any trip that either begins or ends at the development site. ATS determined that the critical traffic impacts on the intersections and roadways would occur during the weekday morning and evening peak hours. According to the ITE trip generation rates, at full build-out the Mcnett Flats Subdivision could produce up to 238 AM peak hour trips, 290 PM peak hour trips, and 3,590 daily trips. See Table 5 for detailed trip generation information

Table 5 - Trip Generation Rates Mcnett Flats Subdivision

| Land Use | Units | AM Peak <br> Hour Trip <br> Ends per <br> Unit | Total AM <br> Peak <br> Hour Trip <br> Ends | PM Peak <br> Hour Trip <br> Ends per <br> Unit | Total PM <br> Peak <br> Hour Trip <br> Ends | Weekday <br> Trip Ends <br> per Unit | Total <br> Weekday <br> Trip <br> Ends |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apartment <br> $\# 221$ | 660 | 0.36 | 238 | 0.44 | 290 | 5.44 | 3,590 |

Figure 2- Proposed Development


The additional developments planned for this area will contribute almost 8,000 new vehicle trips when the projects are completed in 2030. The anticipated trip generation from these developments is shown in Table 6. The traffic from these projects was included in the future growth projections for this analysis.

Table 6 - Trip Generation Additional Developments

| Land Use | Units | Total AM <br> Pear <br> Ends | Total PM <br> Peak Hour <br> Trip Ends | Total Weekday Trip <br> Ends |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Remington Flats | 152 | 112 | 150 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1,435 |
| 44 Ranch Estates | 345 | 255 | 342 | 3,257 |
|  |  |  |  | 318 |
| Heron's Landing | 347 | 241 |  |  |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{8 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 8 4 5}$ |

The proposed zoning for the Mcnett Flats subdivision is B2-2, which allows for a variety of residential and mixed-use land uses within the subdivision. The proposed B2-2 zoning allows for flexibility in future land uses depending on market needs. The City of Missoula requested an analysis of the potential impacts to the trip generation for the development if a portion of the development was constructed as a mixed-use development according to the B2-2 allowed uses. Without looking at specific potential land uses for each lot, segments of the property can be analyzed using mixed use office park (land use code \#750) or business park (land use code \#760). These two commercial land uses generate trips at a rate of 149 to 195 daily trips per acre. If half or all of the 20 -acre site was developed using these land uses and the number of residential units was decreased accordingly, the total daily trip generation from the development would be in the range of 3,000 to 4,000 vehicle trips per day. This is similar to the projected trip generation of the proposed subdivision.

If the project was developed with a portion mixed-use commercial, the daily vehicle flow patterns would change significantly. Currently this entire area is comprised mainly of residential land uses which creates heavy vehicle flows to the east into Missoula in the morning and back home in the evening. A typical mixed-use business park on the site may produce as many or slightly more vehicle trips than the proposed residential land uses, but the vehicle flow would generally be in the opposite direction of the existing residential traffic flows. Overall, traffic operations in this area would be improved with the inclusion of mixed-uses on the site by decreasing traffic from the heavy residential flow directions and taking advantage of the relatively low flow of traffic entering
the area in the morning and leaving the area in the afternoon. More mixed land-uses would also provide a greater opportunity for traffic to be captured within the development area by providing nearby employment and retail/service opportunities for all of the residential developments within the area. While it is possible that including a greater mix of land uses within the development could increase the overall vehicle trip generation for the site, the overall traffic impacts created by mixed-uses within the development would be less than the projected impacts for residential land uses only. In this case, analyzing the development as residential only, assuming the maximum density permitted by the requested B2-2 zoning, provides a review of the highest potential overall traffic impacts from the site.

## F. TRIP DISTRIBUTION

The traffic distribution and assignment for the proposed development was based upon the existing ADT volumes along the adjacent roadways and the peak-hour turning volumes. Due to the project's location, most of the traffic would use George Elmer Drive to connect with Mullan Road to the south. A smaller portion of traffic would likely use Chuck Wagon Drive to the reach Mullan Road heading to the west. The estimated split in traffic between George Elmer Drive and Chuck Wagon Drive is $95 \%$ vs. $5 \%$. Traffic is expected to distribute onto the surrounding road network as shown on Figure 3. See the model in Appendix B for detailed trip distribution information.

Figure 3 - Trip Distribution


## G. TRAFFIC IMPACTS OUTSIDE OF THE DEVELOPMENT

Using the trip generation and trip distribution numbers, ATS determined the future Level of Service for the area intersections with and without the Mcnett Flats Subdivision. The projected no-build traffic conditions for 2025 and the anticipated intersection LOS with the Mcnett Flats

Subdivision are shown in Tables 7 \& 8. The traffic volume calculations are included in Appendix B of this report and include a $6 \%$ background traffic volume growth rate to forecast regional traffic volume growth trends through full buildout in 2025. The projections also include traffic from the 345 additional residential planned for construction in the 44 Ranch subdivision, the planned 152 residential units from the proposed Remington Flats Residential Development, and 347 units from the Heron's Landing Subdivision. The projected LOS for the study intersections without the Mcnett Flats Subdivision are shown in in Table 7 and the LOS with the proposed development are shown in Table 8.

Table 7 - Projected No-Build Level of Service

|  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Delay (Sec.) | LOS | Delay (Sec.) | LOS |
| Mullan Road \& Chuck Wagon <br> Drive* | 38.9 | E | 91 | F |
| Mullan Road \& George Elmer <br> Drive** | 12.9 | B | 11.8 | B |
| George Elmer Drive \& Cattle <br> Drive (Stop Control) | $9.9 / 15.5$ | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{C}$ | $9.4 / 28.0$ | A/D |

*Northbound/Southbound Side Street LOS and Delay.
**LOS with traffic signal.
Table 7 indicates that the planned traffic signal at the intersection of Mullan Road and George Elmer Drive will largely correct the delay at this location. The traffic signal at George Elmer Drive will provide safe and efficient access onto to Mullan Road from the residential developments in this area and will allow the traffic signal to operate at LOS B past 2026. As development pressure increases in this area, the traffic signal will likely draw traffic from Chuck Wagon Drive onto George Elmer Drive to access the traffic signal. Traffic at the Chuck Wagon Drive intersection will increase to capacity and the remaining demand will shift to the traffic signal at George Elmer Drive. Based on the location of these two intersections (1,700-foot separation) it is unlikely that MDT would approve an additional traffic signal at Chuck Wagon Drive given the current roadway characteristics and short intersection separation. However, the traffic signal will also create significant gaps in the westbound traffic stream on Mullan Road which will facilitate improved access onto the roadway from Chuck Wagon Drive.

By 2026, traffic volumes on Chuck Wagon Drive will be approximately 2,200 VPD and traffic on George Elmer Drive will be approximately 8,500 VPD. Table 8 shows the projected LOS at the study intersections with the recommended improvements to the road network. With the projected traffic form the Mcnett Flats Subdivision, the intersection of George Elmer Drive and Cattle Drive will likely require additional traffic controls to function property through full build-out of the projects in this area. This intersection should be reconfigured as a roundabout before full-buildout of the project.

The table shows that the George Elmer Drive intersection with Mullan Road will continue to function at LOS B with the Mcnett Flats Subdivision and the other projects in this area. The total vehicle delay at the signal will increase by $3-8$ seconds per vehicle with the apartment project and will have additional capacity to serve vehicles from Chuck Wagon Drive as needed. In total, the Mcnett Flats Subdivision will account for $11.1 \%$ of traffic at the new traffic signal on Mullan Road, $32.7 \%$ of traffic at the proposed roundabout at Cattle Drive, and $1.1 \%$ of traffic at the Chuck Wagon Drive intersection.

Table 8 - Projected Level of Service with Developments

|  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Delay (Sec.) | LOS | Delay (Sec.) | LOS |
| Mullan Road \& Chuck Wagon <br> Drive* | 42.7 | E | 110 | F |
| Mullan Road \& George Elmer <br> Drive** | 19.2 | B | 14.5 | B |
| George Elmer Drive \& Cattle <br> Drive (Stop Control) | $12.1 / 22.9$ | $\mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{C}$ | $10.6 / 43.0$ | $\mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{E}$ |
| George Elmer Drive \& Cattle <br> Drive (Roundabout) | 5.1 | A | 6.3 | A |

*Northbound/Southbound Side Street LOS and Delay.
${ }^{* *}$ LOS with traffic signal.
ATS also reviewed the left- and right-turn lane warrants for the intersections of Chuck Wagon Drive and George Elmer Drive based on the recommended practices from the MDT Road Design Manual with the additional traffic from the Mcnett Flats Subdivision, Remington Flats subdivision, the 44 Ranch subdivision, and the Heron's Landing subdivision. This analysis showed that there may be sufficient traffic to warrant a right-turn lane at Chuck Wagon Drive. The lane will likely be warranted by Phase II of the Remington Flats subdivision, but will be dependent on the construction rate for both the Remington Flats subdivision and the 44 Ranch development. The Mcnett Flats Subdivision will not contribute to the need for a right-turn lane at Chuck Wagon Drive as very little traffic from the development would benefit from using this turn lane. The intersection of George Elmer Drive already has a westbound right-turn lane which will likely be integrated into the traffic signal at this location. An eastbound left-turn lane will also be included with the traffic signal installation. The turn-lane warrant calculations are included in Appendix D of this report.

The total traffic generation from the Mcnett Flats subdivision will be in the range of 3,600 to 4,000 vehicle trips per day. According to the City of Missoula Subdivision Regulations Article 3-020 Table .2 A , urban roadways with projected traffic volumes up to 2,500 VPD may be constructed as local street. Streets with up to 7,500 VPD may be considered urban collector roadways and should be constructed to an urban collector standard. Based on the layout of the development site, traffic from the various sections of the development will generally flow towards the middle of the project
along Abby Lane and Pius Way and then flow to the south along George Elmer Drive. Traffic on these road segments will generally be in the range of 500 to 2,000 VPD as shown in Table 9. It is possible that traffic volumes on George Elmer Drive may exceed 2,500 south of Abby Lane depending on how much traffic flows through the Mcnett Flats Development from the Remington Flats development to the west, but total traffic volumes will not exceed 7,500 trips per day on any road within the development. As such, George Elmer Drive south of Abby Lane should be constructed to an urban collector standard per the City of Missoula Subdivision regulations.

Table 9 - Projected Average Daily Traffic Within Mcnett Flats

| Road Segment | Projected Daily Traffic |
| :--- | :---: |
| Winchester Drive | 600 VPD |
| Remington Drive | 600 VPD |
| Abby Lane West | 2,000 VPD |
| Abby Lane East | 1,000 VPD |
| Pius Way | 600 VPD |
| George Elmer Drive | 3,000 VPD |
| Old Ranch Road | 400 VPD |

## Multi-Modal Consideration

The Mullan Road pedestrian trial is located to the north of Mullan Road and runs from Mullan Trail to Flynn Lane. This trail will likely be connected through to Reserve Street in the future (City of Missoula Project \#475, estimated cost $\$ 775,000$ ). Once the developments to the south of 44 Ranch Estates subdivision and Heron's Landing Subdivision are completed, pedestrians will have access to the Mullan Road pedestrian trail using the internal sidewalk networks. All developers in this area are encouraged to provide sidewalk and trail connections, where possible, to encourage bicycle and pedestrian activity and decrease dependence on vehicles. Trail and sidewalk connections to the east towards Hellgate Elementary School are also encouraged. At this time there are no specific opportunities for the developers of the Mcnett Flats Subdivision to provide additional trials within the project boundary beyond the planned sidewalk network included with the current development plans.

The Missoula Mountain Line Bus does not currently provide any routes along Mullan Road to the west of Reserve Street. As residential areas in the portion of Missoula continue to develop, it is encouraged that bus routes to serve this portion of Missoula be created. Providing space for a future bus pull-out near the George Elmer Mullan Road intersection should be a part of future development plans in this area.

## H. IMPACT SUMMARY \& RECOMMENDATIONS

As proposed, the Mcnett Flats Subdivision development will increase traffic volumes on the surrounding road network and roadway improvements may be warranted with this project and the other planned developments in this area. The approval and design of a traffic signal for the intersection of George Elmer Drive and Mullan Road is underway to meet the current traffic demands for future traffic volume growth. The developers should contribute to the installation of a roundabout at the intersection of Cattle Drive and George Elmer Drive.

## APPENDIX A

## Traffic Data

# Abelin Traffic Services <br> 130 S. Howie Street <br> Helena, MT 59601 

File Name : GeorgeEtmc
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 10/23/2019
Page No : 1

|  | George E Southbound |  |  |  |  | Mullan Westbound |  |  |  |  | George E Northbound |  |  |  |  | Mullan Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Int. Total |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 2 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 33 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 265 | 1 | 0 | 266 | 334 |
| 07:45 AM | 2 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 30 | 6 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 1 | 0 | 212 | 314 |
| Total | 4 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 63 | 10 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 476 | 2 | 0 | 478 | 648 |
| 08:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 25 | , | 28 | 9 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 1 | 0 | 172 | 274 |
| 08:15 AM | 2 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 23 | 11 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 4 | 0 | 129 | 248 |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4 | 0 | 45 | 2 | 51 | 20 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 296 | 5 | 0 | 301 | 522 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 20 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 2 | 0 | 106 | 313 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 334 |
| Total | 2 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 23 | 41 | 362 | 0 | 0 | 403 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219 | 2 | 0 | 221 | 647 |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 11 | 25 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 0 | 131 | 354 |
| 05:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 14 | 36 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 383 |
| *** BREAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 25 | 61 | 399 | 0 | 0 | 460 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 4 | 0 | 252 | 737 |
| Grand Total | 13 | 0 | 146 | 3 | 162 | 132 | 1008 | 0 | 0 | 1140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1239 | 13 | 0 | 1252 | 2554 |
| Apprch \% | 8 | 0 | 90.1 | 1.9 |  | 11.6 | 88.4 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 99 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.5 | 0 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 39.5 | 0 | 0 | 44.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 49 |  |
| Class 1 | 12 | 0 | 144 | 3 | 159 | 129 | 999 | 0 | 0 | 1128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1227 |  |  |  |  |
| \% Class 1 | 92.3 | 0 | 98.6 | 100 | 98.1 | 97.7 | 99.1 | 0 | 0 | 98.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 92.3 | 0 | 99 | 98.9 |
| New Group \% New Group | 7.7 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7.7 | 0 | 1 | 1.1 |

# Abelin Traffic Services <br> 130 S. Howie Street <br> Helena, MT 59601 

File Name : Chucktmc
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 10/23/2019
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Class 1

|  | Chuck Wagon Southbound |  |  |  |  | Mullan Westbound |  |  |  |  | Chuck Wagon Northbound |  |  |  |  | Mullan Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toala | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Apo. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Int. Total |
| 07:30 AM | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 310 |
| 07:45 AM | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 1 | 0 | 208 | 289 |
| Total | 4 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 32 | 4 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 470 | 1 | 0 | 471 | 599 |
| 08:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 1 | 0 | 169 | 242 |
| 08:15 AM | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 2 | 0 | 128 | 224 |
| *** BREAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 18 | 10 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 294 | 3 | 0 | 297 | 466 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 285 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 285 |
| 05:00 PM | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 186 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 1 | 0 | 114 | 314 |
| 05:15 PM | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 2 | 0 | 131 | 327 |
| 05:30 PM | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 1 | 0 | 121 | 347 |
| Grand Total | 18 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 88 | 38 | 973 | 0 | 0 | 1011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1231 | 8 | 0 | 1239 | 2338 |
| Apprch \% | 20.5 | 0 | 79.5 | 0 |  | 3.8 | 96.2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 99.4 | 0.6 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 41.6 | 0 | 0 | 43.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52.7 | 0.3 | 0 | 53 |  |

## Basic Volume Report: ROUNDUP

## Station ID : ROUNDUP

Info Line 1 : ATS
Info Line 2 : Unicorn \#6
GPS Lat/Lon:
DB File : ROUNDUP.DB

Last Connected Device Type: Unic-L
Version Number: 1.50 Serial Number :

Number of Lanes : 1
Posted Speed Limit : 0.0 mph

| Lane \#1 Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| \# | Dir. Information | Volume Mode | Volume Sensors | Divide By 2 | Comment |
| 1. | NB | Normal | Axle | Yes |  |


| Lane \#1 Basic Volume Data From: 16:00-10/23/2019 To: 16:59-10/24/2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date DW | 0000 | 01000 | 02000 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0 | 700 | 800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 |  | 3001 | 400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 200 | 100 | 200 | 300 | Total |
| 102319 W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 | 75 | 33 | 25 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 229 |
| 102419 T | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 58 | 72 | 62 | 53 | 57 | 67 |  | 59 | 51 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 554 |
| Month Total : | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 13 |  |  | 72 | 62 | 53 | 57 |  |  |  | 51 | 55 | 66 | 75 | 33 | 25 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 783 |
| Percent: | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% |  | 7\% | 9\% | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | 9\% |  | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | 8\% | 10\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |  |  |
| ADT : | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 58 | 72 | 62 | 53 | 57 | 67 |  | 59 | 51 | 55 | 66 | 75 | 33 | 25 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 0 |  |


|  | Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |  | Total | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DW Totals : | 0 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 554 | 0 | 0 | Weekday (Mon-Fri) | 783 | 100\% |
| \# Days : | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ADT | 783 |  |
| ADT : | 0 | 0 | 0 | 687 | 831 | 0 | 0 | Weekend (Sat-Sun) | 0 | 0\% |
| Percent: | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 29\% | 71\% | 0\% | 0\% | ADT |  |  |

## Basic Volume Report: GEORGE

## Station ID: GEORGE

Info Line 1 : ATS
Info Line 2 : UNICORN 5
GPS Lat/Lon:
DB File: GEORGE.DB

Last Connected Device Type : Unic-L
Version Number: 1.50 Serial Number :

Number of Lanes : 1
Posted Speed Limit: 0.0 mph

| Lane \#1 Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \# | Dir. | Information | Volume Mode | Volume Sensors | Divide By 2 | Comment |
| 1. | NB | Normal | Axle | Yes |  |  |


| Lane \#1 Basic Volume Data From: 16:00-10/23/2019 To: 16:59-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date DW | 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 | Total |
| 102319 W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 121 | 173 | 147 | 101 | 70 | 36 | 19 | 9 | 676 |
| 102419 T | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 79 | 130 | 139 | 88 | 74 | 118 | 99 | 90 | 83 | 117 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1046 |
| Month Total | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 79 | 130 | 139 | 88 | 74 | 118 | 99 | 90 | 83 | 117 | 121 | 173 | 147 | 101 | 70 | 36 | 19 | 9 | 1722 |
| Percent | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 5\% | 8\% | 8\% | 5\% | 4\% | 7\% | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% | 7\% | 7\% | 10\% | 9\% | 6\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% | \% |  |
| ADT | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 79 | 130 | 139 | 88 | 74 | 4118 | 99 | 90 | 83 | 117 | 121 | 173 | 147 | 101 | 70 | 36 | 19 | 9 | 1722 |


|  | Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |  | Total | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DW Totals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 676 | 1046 | 0 | 0 | Weekday (Mon-Fri) | 1722 | 100\% |
| \# Days | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ADT | 1722 |  |
| ADT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2028 | 1569 | 0 | 0 | Weekend (Sat-Sun) | 0 | 0\% |
| Percent | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 39\% | 61\% | 0\% | 0\% | ADT | 0 |  |

## APPENDIX B

## Traffic Model



George Elmer-Tollefson Development



George Elmer-Tollefson Development



George Elmer-Tollefson Development


Remington Flats

| Land <br> Use | Units | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AM } \\ \text { Trip } \\ \text { Ends } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { Unit } \end{array}$ | AM <br> Trip <br> Ends | \% <br> Enter ing |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Exitin } \\ \mathbf{g} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | PM Trip Ends per Unit | PM <br> Trip <br> Ends | \% Enter ing |  | \% <br> Exitin <br> g |  | Trip <br> Ends <br> per <br> Unit | Week <br> day <br> Trip <br> Ends |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 152 | 0.74 | 112 | 25\% | 28 | 75\% | 84 | 0.99 | 150 | 63\% | 95 | 37\% | 56 | 9.44 | 1,435 |



44 Ranch

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Land } \\ & \text { Use } \end{aligned}$ | Units | AM Trip Ends per Unit | AM Trip Ends | $\%$ Enterin $\mathbf{g}$ |  |  |  | PM Trip Ends per Unit | PM Trip Ends |  |  |  |  | Trip Ends per Unit | Weekd <br> ay Trip <br> Ends |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single Fi | 345 | 0.74 | 255 | 25\% | 64 | 75\% | 191 | 0.99 | 342 | 63\% | 215 | 37\% | 127 | 9.44 | 3,257 |



George Elmer-Tollefson Development
Traffic Impact Study


Herons Landing

| Land Use | Units | AM Trip Ends per Unit | AM Trip Ends | \% <br> Enterin <br> g |  | \% Exiting |  | PM Trip Ends per Unit | PM Trip Ends | \% Enterin g |  | \% Exiting |  | Trip Ends per Unit | Weekd <br> ay Trip <br> Ends |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single Fo | 289 | 0.74 | 214 | 25\% | 54 | 75\% | 161 | 0.99 | 286 | 63\% | 180 | 37\% | 106 | 9.44 | 2,728 |
| Multi-Far | 58 | 0.46 | 27 | 23\% | 6 | 77\% | 21 | 0.56 | 32 | 63\% | 20 | 37\% | 12 | 7.32 | 425 |
| 347 |  |  | 241 |  | 60 |  | 182 |  | 318 |  | 200 |  | 118 |  | 3153 |



George Elmer-Tollefson Development




## APPENDIX C

LOS Calculations

## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | RLA | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | ATS | Intersection | Chuck Wagon \& Mullan |
| Agency/Co. | $11 / 7 / 2019$ | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |
| Date Performed | 2019 | East/West Street | Mullan Road |
| Analysis Year | AM Peak Hour | North/South Street | Chuck Wagon Drive |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 |
| Intersection Orientation | George Elmer-Tollefson | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

## Lanes



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.42 |  | 6.22 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.52 |  | 3.32 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | RLA | Intersection | Chuck Wagon \& Mullan |
| Agency/Co. | ATS | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |
| Date Performed | $11 / 7 / 2019$ | East/West Street | Mullan Road |
| Analysis Year | 2019 | North/South Street | Chuck Wagon Drive |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | George Elmer-Tollefson |  |  |

## Lanes



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.42 |  | 6.22 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.52 |  | 3.32 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) | 818 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 207 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.18 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.6 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 9.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.1 |  |
| Level of Service (LOS) | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |

## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | RLA | Intersection | George Elmer \& Mullan |
| Agency/Co. | ATS | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |
| Date Performed | $11 / 7 / 2019$ | East/West Street | Mullan Road |
| Analysis Year | 2019 | North/South Street | George Elmer Drive |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | George Elmer-Tollefson |  |  |

## Lanes



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  | T | R |  |  |  |  |  | L |  | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 3 | 708 |  |  |  | 215 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 138 |  | 11 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.42 |  | 6.22 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.52 |  | 3.32 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 141 |  | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) | 1325 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 288 |  | 820 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.49 |  | 0.01 |
| 95\% Queue Length, Q95 (veh) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.5 |  | 0.0 |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28.8 |  | 9.4 |
| Level of Service (LOS) | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | A |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.4 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |

## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | RLA | Intersection | George Elmer \& Mullan |
| Agency/Co. | ATS | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |
| Date Performed | $11 / 7 / 2019$ | East/West Street | Mullan Road |
| Analysis Year | 2019 | North/South Street | George Elmer Drive |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour | Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | George Elmer-Tollefson |  |  |

## Lanes



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.42 |  | 6.22 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.52 |  | 3.32 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | RLA |  |  |
| Analyst | Site Information |  |  |
| Agency/Co. | $8 / 13 / 2020$ | Intersection | George Elmer \& Cattle Dr. |
| Date Performed | 2019 | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |
| Analysis Year | East/West Street | Cattle Drive |  |
| Time Analyzed | North-South | North/South Street | George Elmer Drive |
| Intersection Orientation | George Elmer-Tollefson | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  | 0.90 |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach <br> Movement | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 1 | 2 | 104 |  | 45 | 2 | 1 |  | 18 | 1 | 8 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 | 4.13 |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 2.23 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | RLA |  |  |
| Analyst | Site Information |  |  |
| Agency/Co. | $8 / 13 / 2020$ | Intersection | George Elmer \& Cattle Dr. |
| Date Performed | 2019 | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |
| Analysis Year | East/West Street | Cattle Drive |  |
| Time Analyzed | North-South | North/South Street | George Elmer Drive |
| Intersection Orientation | George Elmer-Tollefson | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  | 0.90 |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 | 4.13 |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 2.23 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Agency |
| :--- |
| Analyst |
| Jurisdiction |
| Urban Street |
| Intersection |
| Project Description |


| ATS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| RLA | Th |
|  | Til |
| Mullan Road | A |
| George Ulmer | F |
| George Elmer-Tollefson |  |

Intersection Information

| Demand Information |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach Movement |  |  |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Demand ( $v$ ), veh/h |  |  |  | 8 | 874 |  |  | 271 | 122 |  |  |  | 434 |  | 37 |
| Signal Information |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle, s | 51.3 | Reference Phase | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset, s | 0 | Reference Point | End | Green | 26.8 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uncoordinated | Yes | Simult. Gap E/W | On | Yellow | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Force Mode | Fixed | Simult. Gap N/S | On | Red | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

## Timer Results

Assigned Phase
Case Number

Phase Duration, s
Change Period, $\left(Y+R_{c}\right)$, s
Max Allow Headway ( MAH ), s
Queue Clearance Time ( $g s$ ), s
Green Extension Time ( $g e$ ), s
Phase Call Probability
Max Out Probability

| Movement Group Results | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Assigned Movement | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 | 16 |  |  |  | 7 |  | 14 |
| Adjusted Flow Rate ( $v$ ), veh/h | 8 | 892 |  |  | 277 | 124 |  |  |  | 443 |  | 38 |
| Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate ( $s$ ), veh/h/ln | 1120 | 1870 |  |  | 1870 | 1585 |  |  |  | 1867 |  | 1662 |
| Queue Service Time ( $g s$ ), s | 0.2 | 22.5 |  |  | 4.3 | 2.1 |  |  |  | 11.5 |  | 0.9 |
| Cycle Queue Clearance Time ( $g_{c}$ ), s | 4.1 | 22.5 |  |  | 4.3 | 2.1 |  |  |  | 11.5 |  | 0.9 |
| Green Ratio ( $g / C$ ) | 0.52 | 0.52 |  |  | 0.52 | 0.52 |  |  |  | 0.28 |  | 0.28 |
| Capacity ( c ), veh/h | 640 | 977 |  |  | 977 | 828 |  |  |  | 530 |  | 472 |
| Volume-to-Capacity Ratio ( $X$ ) | 0.013 | 0.912 |  |  | 0.283 | 0.150 |  |  |  | 0.835 |  | 0.080 |
| Back of Queue ( Q ), ft/ln ( 50 th percentile) | 0.9 | 152.4 |  |  | 27.3 | 11.4 |  |  |  | 103.3 |  | 6.6 |
| Back of Queue ( Q ), veh/ln ( 50 th percentile) | 0.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 1.1 | 0.4 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  | 0.3 |
| Queue Storage Ratio ( $R Q$ ) ( 50 th percentile) | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay ( $d_{1}$ ), s/veh | 8.0 | 11.3 |  |  | 6.9 | 6.4 |  |  |  | 17.4 |  | 13.6 |
| Incremental Delay ( $d_{2}$ ), s/veh | 0.0 | 1.5 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 1.4 |  | 0.0 |
| Initial Queue Delay ( $d_{3}$ ), s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Control Delay (d), s/veh | 8.0 | 12.8 |  |  | 7.0 | 6.4 |  |  |  | 18.7 |  | 13.6 |
| Level of Service (LOS) | A | B |  |  | A | A |  |  |  | B |  | B |
| Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS | 12.7 |  | B |  |  | A | 0.0 |  |  | 18.3 |  | B |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS | 12.9 |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |


| EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 4 |
|  | 6.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 9.0 |
|  | 31.8 |  | 31.8 |  |  |  | 19.5 |
|  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 |
|  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 |
|  | 24.5 |  | 6.3 |  |  |  | 13.5 |
|  | 2.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.9 |
|  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |
|  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |


| Multimodal Results | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS | 0.67 | A | 1.87 | B | 1.94 | B | 1.94 | B |
| Bicycle LOS Score / LOS | 1.97 | B | 1.15 | A |  |  |  | F |

## General Information




## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | RLA | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | ATS | Intersection | Chuck Wagon \& Mullan |
| Agency/Co. | $11 / 7 / 2019$ | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |
| Date Performed | 2025 | East/West Street | Mullan Road |
| Analysis Year | AM Peak No Build | North/South Street | Chuck Wagon Drive |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 |
| Intersection Orientation | George Elmer-Tollefson | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

## Lanes



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.42 |  | 6.22 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.52 |  | 3.32 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | RLA | Intersection | Chuck Wagon \& Mullan |
| Agency/Co. | ATS | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |
| Date Performed | $11 / 7 / 2019$ | East/West Street | Mullan Road |
| Analysis Year | 2025 | North/South Street | Chuck Wagon Drive |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak No Build | Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | George Elmer-Tollefson |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 22 | 524 |  |  |  | 796 | 179 |  |  |  |  |  | 103 |  | 30 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.42 |  | 6.22 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.52 |  | 3.32 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | RLA | Intersection | George Elmer \& Cattle Dr. |
| Agency/Co. | ATS | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |
| Date Performed | 8/13/2020 | East/West Street | Cattle Drive |
| Analysis Year | 2025 | North/South Street | George Elmer Drive |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak Hour No Build | Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | George Elmer-Tollefson |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 | 4.13 |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 2.23 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | RLA |  |  |
| Analyst | Site Information |  |  |
| Agency/Co. | $8 / 13 / 2020$ | Intersection | George Elmer \& Cattle Dr. |
| Date Performed | 2025 | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |
| Analysis Year | East/West Street | Cattle Drive |  |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour No Build | North/South Street | George Elmer Drive |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |
| Project Description | George Elmer-Tollefson | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 1 | 2 | 185 |  | 24 | 2 | 1 |  | 332 | 1 | 49 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 | 4.13 |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 2.23 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Agency |
| :--- |
| Analyst |
| Jurisdiction |
| Urban Street |
| Intersection |
| Project Description |


| ATS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| RLA | An |
|  |  |
| Mullan Road | Ana |
| George Ulmer | F |
| George Elmer-Tollefson |  | Intersection Information


| Demand Information |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach Movement |  |  |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Demand ( $v$ ), veh/h |  |  |  | 11 | 874 |  |  | 272 | 178 |  |  |  | 584 |  | 54 |
| Signal Information |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle, s | 73.0 | Reference Phase | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset, s | 0 | Reference Point | End | Green | 37.2 | 25.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uncoordinated | Yes | Simult. Gap E/W | On | Yellow | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Force Mode | Fixed | Simult. Gap N/S | On | Red | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

## Timer Results

Assigned Phase
Case Number
Phase Duration, s
Change Period, $(Y+R c)$, s
Max Allow Headway ( MAH ), s
Queue Clearance Time ( $g s$ ), s
Green Extension Time ( $g e$ ), s
Phase Call Probability
Max Out Probability

| Movement Group Results | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach Movement | L | T | R |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Assigned Movement | 5 | 2 |  |  |  | 6 | 16 |  |  |  | 7 |  | 14 |
| Adjusted Flow Rate ( v ), veh/h | 11 | 892 |  |  |  | 278 | 182 |  |  |  | 596 |  | 55 |
| Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate ( $s$ ), veh/h/ln | 1119 | 1870 |  |  |  | 1870 | 1585 |  |  |  | 1867 |  | 1662 |
| Queue Service Time ( $g$ s), s | 0.4 | 32.8 |  |  |  | 6.3 | 4.7 |  |  |  | 22.3 |  | 1.6 |
| Cycle Queue Clearance Time ( $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ), s | 6.3 | 32.8 |  |  |  | 6.3 | 4.7 |  |  |  | 22.3 |  | 1.6 |
| Green Ratio ( $g / C$ ) | 0.51 | 0.51 |  |  |  | 0.51 | 0.51 |  |  |  | 0.35 |  | 0.35 |
| Capacity ( c ), veh/h | 580 | 955 |  |  |  | 955 | 809 |  |  |  | 660 |  | 588 |
| Volume-to-Capacity Ratio ( $X$ ) | 0.019 | 0.934 |  |  |  | 0.291 | 0.225 |  |  |  | 0.902 |  | 0.094 |
| Back of Queue ( Q ), ft/ln ( 50 th percentile) | 2.3 | 295.9 |  |  |  | 53.8 | 34 |  |  |  | 230.8 |  | 13.9 |
| Back of Queue ( Q ), veh/ln ( 50 th percentile) | 0.1 | 11.6 |  |  |  | 2.1 | 1.3 |  |  |  | 9.2 |  | 0.6 |
| Queue Storage Ratio ( $R Q$ ) ( 50 th percentile) | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay ( $d_{1}$ ), s/veh | 12.1 | 16.9 |  |  |  | 10.4 | 10.0 |  |  |  | 22.6 |  | 15.9 |
| Incremental Delay ( $d_{2}$ ), s/veh | 0.0 | 2.4 |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  | 3.8 |  | 0.0 |
| Initial Queue Delay ( $d_{3}$ ), s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Control Delay (d), s/veh | 12.1 | 19.2 |  |  |  | 10.4 | 10.0 |  |  |  | 26.4 |  | 15.9 |
| Level of Service (LOS) | B | B |  |  |  | B | B |  |  |  | C |  | B |
| Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS | 19.1 |  | B |  | 10.3 |  | B | 0.0 |  |  | 25.5 |  | C |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS | 19.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |


| EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 4 |
|  | 6.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 9.0 |
|  | 42.2 |  | 42.2 |  |  |  | 30.8 |
|  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 |
|  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 |
|  | 34.8 |  | 8.3 |  |  |  | 24.3 |
|  | 2.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 1.2 |
|  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |
|  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |


| Multimodal Results | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS | 0.69 | A | 1.88 | B | 1.95 | B | 1.95 | B |
| Bicycle LOS Score / LOS | 1.98 | B | 1.25 | A |  |  |  | F |

## General Information

| Agency |
| :--- |
| Analyst |
| Jurisdiction |
| Urban Street |
| Intersection |
| Project Description |

Intersection Information


## Timer Results

Assigned Phase
Case Number

Phase Duration, s
Change Period, ( $Y+R_{c}$ ), s
Max Allow Headway ( MAH ), s
Queue Clearance Time ( $g s$ ), s
Green Extension Time ( $g e$ ), s
Phase Call Probability
Max Out Probability

| Movement Group Results | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Assigned Movement | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 | 16 |  |  |  | 7 |  | 14 |
| Adjusted Flow Rate ( v ), veh/h | 41 | 609 |  |  | 968 | 654 |  |  |  | 364 |  | 39 |
| Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate ( $s$ ), veh/h/ln | 590 | 1870 |  |  | 1870 | 1585 |  |  |  | 1867 |  | 1662 |
| Queue Service Time ( $g$ s ) , s | 3.6 | 11.4 |  |  | 25.3 | 16.6 |  |  |  | 10.5 |  | 1.0 |
| Cycle Queue Clearance Time ( $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ), s | 29.0 | 11.4 |  |  | 25.3 | 16.6 |  |  |  | 10.5 |  | 1.0 |
| Green Ratio ( g/C ) | 0.59 | 0.59 |  |  | 0.59 | 0.59 |  |  |  | 0.24 |  | 0.24 |
| Capacity ( $c$ ), veh/h | 210 | 1096 |  |  | 1096 | 929 |  |  |  | 446 |  | 397 |
| Volume-to-Capacity Ratio ( X ) | 0.194 | 0.556 |  |  | 0.884 | 0.704 |  |  |  | 0.817 |  | 0.098 |
| Back of Queue ( $Q$ ), ft/ln ( 50 th percentile) | 11.2 | 70 |  |  | 219.1 | 98.5 |  |  |  | 101.3 |  | 8.6 |
| Back of Queue ( Q ), veh/ln ( 50 th percentile) | 0.4 | 2.8 |  |  | 8.6 | 3.9 |  |  |  | 4.0 |  | 0.3 |
| Queue Storage Ratio ( $R Q$ ) ( 50 th percentile) | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay ( $d_{1}$ ), s/veh | 22.6 | 7.2 |  |  | 10.1 | 8.3 |  |  |  | 20.5 |  | 16.9 |
| Incremental Delay ( $d_{2}$ ), s/veh | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  | 8.5 | 2.1 |  |  |  | 1.4 |  | 0.0 |
| Initial Queue Delay ( $d_{3}$ ), s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Control Delay (d), s/veh | 22.7 | 7.4 |  |  | 18.6 | 10.4 |  |  |  | 22.0 |  | 17.0 |
| Level of Service (LOS) | C | A |  |  | B | B |  |  |  | C |  | B |
| Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS | 8.4 |  | A |  |  | B | 0.0 |  |  | 21.5 |  | C |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS | 14.5 |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |


| EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 4 |
|  | 6.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 9.0 |
|  | 38.0 |  | 38.0 |  |  |  | 18.4 |
|  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 |
|  | 3.1 |  | 3.1 |  |  |  | 3.0 |
|  | 31.0 |  | 27.3 |  |  |  | 12.5 |
|  | 1.7 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.7 |
|  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |
|  | 0.03 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |


| Multimodal Results | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS | 0.66 | A | 1.86 | B | 1.94 | B | 1.94 | B |
| Bicycle LOS Score / LOS | 1.56 | B | 3.16 | C |  |  |  | F |

## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | RLA | Intersection | Chuck Wagon \& Mullan |
| Agency/Co. | ATS | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |
| Date Performed | $11 / 7 / 2019$ | East/West Street | Mullan Road |
| Analysis Year | 2025 | North/South Street | Chuck Wagon Drive |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak With Developments | Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | George Elmer-Tollefson |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.42 |  | 6.22 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.52 |  | 3.32 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | RLA | Intersection | Chuck Wagon \& Mullan |
| Agency/Co. | ATS | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |
| Date Performed | 11/7/2019 | East/West Street | Mullan Road |
| Analysis Year | 2025 | North/South Street | Chuck Wagon Drive |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak With Development | Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | George Elmer-Tollefson |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach |  |  | und |  |  |  | und |  |  | Nor | und |  |  | Sout | und |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 30 | 533 |  |  |  | 807 | 181 |  |  |  |  |  | 104 |  | 34 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.42 |  | 6.22 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.52 |  | 3.32 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | RLA | Intersection | George Elmer \& Cattle Dr. |
| Agency/Co. | ATS | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |
| Date Performed | 8/13/2020 | East/West Street | Cattle Drive |
| Analysis Year | 2025 | North/South Street | George Elmer Drive |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak Hour With Dev. | Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | George Elmer-Tollefson |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 1 | 2 | 244 |  | 47 | 2 | 1 |  | 63 | 79 | 8 |  | 1 | 226 | 1 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways


Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | RLA |  |  |
| Analyst | Site Information |  |  |
| Agency/Co. | $8 / 13 / 2020$ | Intersection | George Elmer \& Cattle Dr. |
| Date Performed | 2025 | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |
| Analysis Year | East/West Street | Cattle Drive |  |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour With Dev. | North/South Street | George Elmer Drive |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |
| Project Description | George Elmer-Tollefson | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 1 | 2 | 146 |  | 24 | 2 | 1 |  | 265 | 235 | 49 |  | 1 | 147 | 1 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 | 4.13 |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 2.23 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Inform |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | RLA | Intersection | George Elmer \& Cattle Dr. |
| Agency or Co. | ATS | E/W Street Name | Cattle Drive |
| Date Performed | 8/13/2020 | N/S Street Name | George Elmer Drive |
| Analysis Year | 2025 | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak Hour With Dev. | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Project Description | George Elmer - Tollefson | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |

## Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics

| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes ( N ) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 1 | 2 | 244 | 0 | 47 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 79 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 226 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Flow Rate (VpCE), pc/h | 0 | 1 | 2 | 273 | 0 | 53 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 71 | 88 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 253 | 0 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Critical Headway (s) |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (s) |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |

## Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow ( $\mathrm{ve}_{\text {e }}$, pc/h |  | 276 |  |  | 56 |  |  | 168 |  |  | 254 |  |
| Entry Volume, veh/h |  | 268 |  |  | 54 |  |  | 163 |  |  | 247 |  |
| Circulating Flow ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}$, $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 307 |  |  | 160 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 126 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (Vex), pc/h | 12 |  |  | 73 |  |  | 90 |  |  | 579 |  |  |
| Capacity ( $\mathrm{cpce}^{\text {) , pc/h }}$ |  | 1009 |  |  | 1172 |  |  | 1374 |  |  | 1214 |  |
| Capacity (c), veh/h |  | 980 |  |  | 1138 |  |  | 1334 |  |  | 1178 |  |
| v/c Ratio (x) |  | 0.27 |  |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.12 |  |  | 0.21 |  |

## Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh |  | 6.4 |  |  | 3.6 |  |  | 3.7 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| 95\% Queue, veh |  | 1.1 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.8 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 6.4 |  |  | 3.6 |  |  | 3.7 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 5.1 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |


| HCS7 Roundabouts Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Inform |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | RLA | Intersection | George Elmer \& Cattle Dr. |
| Agency or Co. | ATS | E/W Street Name | Cattle Drive |
| Date Performed | 8/13/2020 | N/S Street Name | George Elmer Drive |
| Analysis Year | 2025 | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour With Dev. | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Project Description | George Elmer - Tollefson | Jurisdiction | City of Missoula |

## Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics

| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes ( N ) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Assignment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (V), veh/h | 0 | 1 | 2 | 146 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 265 | 235 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 147 | 1 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Flow Rate (VPCE), pc/h | 0 | 1 | 2 | 163 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 297 | 263 | 55 | 0 | 1 | 165 | 1 |
| Right-Turn Bypass | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians Crossing, p/h | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-Up Headway Adjustment

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Critical Headway (s) |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |  | 4.9763 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (s) |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |  | 2.6087 |  |

## Flow Computations, Capacity and v/c Ratios

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Entry Flow ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{e}}$, $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  | 166 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 615 |  |  | 167 |  |
| Entry Volume, veh/h |  | 161 |  |  | 29 |  |  | 597 |  |  | 162 |  |
| Circulating Flow ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}$, $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 193 |  |  | 561 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 326 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow (Vex), pc/h | 58 |  |  | 300 |  |  | 265 |  |  | 355 |  |  |
| Capacity ( $\mathrm{cpce}^{\text {) , pc/h }}$ |  | 1133 |  |  | 779 |  |  | 1374 |  |  | 990 |  |
| Capacity (c), veh/h |  | 1100 |  |  | 756 |  |  | 1334 |  |  | 961 |  |
| v/c Ratio (x) |  | 0.15 |  |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.45 |  |  | 0.17 |  |

## Delay and Level of Service

| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass | Left | Right | Bypass |
| Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh |  | 4.6 |  |  | 5.1 |  |  | 7.1 |  |  | 5.3 |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| 95\% Queue, veh |  | 0.5 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  | 2.4 |  |  | 0.6 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 4.6 |  |  | 5.1 |  |  | 7.1 |  |  | 5.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX D

## Turn- Lane Warrants



Note: For highways with a design speed below $50 \mathrm{mph}(80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ with a $\mathrm{DHV}<300$ and where right turns $>40$, an adjustment should be used. To read the vertical axis of the chart, subtract 20 from the actual number of right turns.

Example
Given:

| Design Speed | $=35 \mathrm{mph}(60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| DHV | $=250 \mathrm{vph}$ |
| Right Turns | $=100 \mathrm{vph}$ |

Problem: Determine if a right-turn lane is necessary.
Solution: To read the vertical axis, use 100-20 = 80 vph. The figure indicates that a right-turn lane is not necessary, unless other factors (e.g., high accident rate) indicate a lane is needed.

GUIDELINES FOR RIGHT-TURN LANES AT UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS ON 2-LANE HIGHWAYS

Figure 13.3A

